



College of Education and Psychology  
School of Education  
Ed.D. in School Improvement

**EDSI 6313 – School Improvement & Accountability Models**

Instructor Forrest Kaiser, Ed.D.  
Office BEP 245  
Phone 903.780-8860 (Cell)  
E-mail address [fkaiser@uttyler.edu](mailto:fkaiser@uttyler.edu) (preferred method of communication)

**Term** Summer 2021

Last Day to Withdraw from this course: July 9, 2021

**Course Description**

This course is designed to examine Texas, national and international educational change models; analyze the sustainability and effectiveness of the models; and survey the impact of these models on school improvement efforts. Additionally, the course explores current school accountability models, systems, and strategies at the national, state, and local levels, including the Texas and locally developed school accountability systems.

**Student Learning Outcomes**

The student will...

- A. be able to define and understand the **essential components** of School Improvement
- B. understand how school improvement is **measured**
- C. investigate different **school improvement models**
- D. develop an understanding of **school accountability models**
- E. explore **the impact** school accountability has on school improvement efforts

**Textbooks and Required Readings**

- Bryk, A. S., Gomez, L. M., Grunow, A., & LeMahieu, P. G. (2015). *Learning to improve: How America's schools can get better at getting better*. Harvard Education Press.
- Cook-Harvey, C. M. & Stosich E. L. (2016). *Redesigning School Accountability and Support: Progress in Pioneering States*. Stanford, CA: Learning Policy Institute and Stanford Center for Opportunity Policy in Education.
- Duke, D.L., Carr, M. & Sterrett. W. (2013). *The School Improvement Planning Handbook: Getting Focused for Turnaround and Transition*. Rowan and Littlefield. ISBN-13: 978-1610486323

The Center on School Turnaround. (2017). *Four domains for rapid school improvement: A systems framework* [The Center for School Turnaround at WestEd]. San Francisco, CA: WestEd.

## Web Resources

The Texas Effective School Network  
<https://texasesf.org/>

Texas Partnerships (Senate Bill 1882)  
<https://txpartnerships.org/>

Texas Education Agency Accountability  
[https://tea.texas.gov/Student\\_Testing\\_and\\_Accountability/Accountability/State\\_Accountability/State\\_Accountability](https://tea.texas.gov/Student_Testing_and_Accountability/Accountability/State_Accountability/State_Accountability)

## Course Content (topics to be covered)

Module 1: The essential components of school improvement – Opens May 10

- School Improvement Fundamentals
- Overview of Improvement Science
- Scope of School Improvement Planning
- Setting Goals: Targets, Indicators, Milestones
- Effective Organizational Practices
- School Improvement Groups

Module 2: How school improvement is measured – Opens May 24

- Needs Assessment
- Data Collection
- Student Learning
- Demographics
- School Environment
- Curriculum
- Implementation
- Data-Driven Decision Making
- Communication with Stakeholders

Module 3: School improvement models – Opens June 7

- National Models
- Texas Models
- School Turn-Around Models

Module 4: Federal and state school accountability systems – Opens June 21

- Historical overview of school accountability in the United States
- Federal school accountability
- Texas school accountability

Module 5: The impact of school accountability on school improvement – Opens July 5

- Intersection of school accountability and improvement
- Locally developed accountability systems

Module 6: School Improvement and Accountability – Opens July 19

- Preparing the School Case Study
- Needs Assessments
- Improvement or Turnaround Model Recommendation

### Course Expectations

#### Course Evaluation

Assignment	Percentage of grade
Discussions and Participation	25%
Reflections and Case Studies	25%
School Data Project	15%
School Improvement Project	35%

#### Grading Criteria

Performance standards			
Points	Percent	Grade	Standard
90-100	90	A	Superior
80-89	84%	B	Meets Standard
70-79	75%	C	Below Average
60-69	66%	D	Unacceptable
00-59	60% or below	F	Unacceptable

#### Bibliography

Alzen, J., Fahle, E., & Domingue, B. (2017). The Implications of Reduced Testing for Teacher Accountability. *AERA Open*. Retrieved from

<https://journals.sagepub.com/doi/full/10.1177/2332858417704411>

Anderson, S., Leithwood, K., & Strauss, T. (2010). Leading data use in schools: Organizational conditions and practices at the school and district levels. *Leadership and Policy in Schools, 9*(3), 292-327.

Bassok, D., Dee, T., & Latham, S. (2019). The Effects of Accountability Incentives in Early Childhood Education. *Journal of Policy Analysis and Management*.

Berg, J. H., Bosch, C. A., & Souvanna, P. (2013, December). Critical conditions: What teacher leaders need to be effective in schools. *JSD, Learning Forward's Journal, 34*(6), 26–30.

- Berg, J. H., Carver, C. L., & Mangin, M. M. (2014). Teacher leader model standards: Implications for preparation, policy and practice. *Journal of Research on Leadership Education*, 9(2), 195–217.
- Borman, G. D., Hewes, G. M., Overman, L. T., & Brown, S. (2003). Comprehensive school reform and achievement: A meta-analysis. *Review of educational research*, 73(2), 125-230.
- Bryk, A. S., & Schneider, B. (2002). *Trust in schools: A core resource for improvement*. New York: Russell Sage Foundation.
- Center for Strengthening the Teaching Profession. (2009). *Teacher leadership skills framework*. Retrieved from <http://cstp-wa.org/teacher-leadership/teacher-leadership-skills-framework/>
- Center for Teacher Quality, National Board for Professional Teaching Standards, and National Education Association. (2014). *The teacher leadership competencies*. Retrieved from [http://www.nbpts.org/wp-content/uploads/teacher\\_leadership\\_competencies\\_final.pdf](http://www.nbpts.org/wp-content/uploads/teacher_leadership_competencies_final.pdf)
- Corbett, J. (2015). Chartering Turnaround: Leveraging Public Charter School Autonomy to Address Failure. *National Alliance for Public Charter Schools*.
- Danielson, C. (2015). *Talk about teaching! Leading professional conversations*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Corwin.
- Datnow, A., & Castellano, M. E. (2001). Managing and guiding school reform: Leadership in success for all schools. *Educational Administration Quarterly*, 37(2), 219–249.
- Dweck, C. S. (2006). *Mindset: The new psychology of success*. New York: Random House.
- Evans, L., & Teddlie, C. (1995). Facilitating change in schools: Is there one best style? *School Effectiveness and School Improvement*, 6(1), 1–22.
- Frost, D. (Ed.). (2014). *Transforming education through teacher leadership*. Madison, WI: Leadership for Learning.
- Fullan, M. (2007). *The new meaning of educational change*. New York: Routledge.
- Hamilton, L., Halverson, R., Jackson, S., Mandinach, E., Supovitz, J., & Wayman, J. (2009). *Using student achievement data to support instructional decision making* (NCEE #2009-4067). Washington, DC: National Center for Education Evaluation and Regional Assistance, Institute of Education Sciences, U.S. Department of Education. Retrieved from <http://ies.ed.gov/ncee/wwc/publications/practiceguides>.
- Hanover Research. (2014). *Best Practices for School Improvement Planning*. Washington, DC: Hanover Research. Retrieved from. <https://www.hanoverresearch.com/media/Best-Practices-for-School-Improvement-Planning.pdf>
- Harris, A., & Muijs, D. (2004). *Improving schools through teacher leadership*. London: McGraw-Hill Education.

- Heck, R. H., & Hallinger, P. (2009). Assessing the contribution of distributed leadership to school improvement and growth in math achievement. *American Educational Research Journal*, 46(3), 659-689.
- Herman, R., Dawson, P., Dee, T., Greene, J., Maynard, R., Redding, S., and Darwin, M. (2008). *Turning Around Chronically Low-Performing Schools: A practice guide* (NCEE #2008-4020). Washington, DC: National Center for Education Evaluation and Regional Assistance, Institute of Education Sciences, U.S. Department of Education. Retrieved from <http://ies.ed.gov/ncee/wwc/publications/practiceguides>.
- Johnson, S. M., Kraft, M. A., & Papay, J. P. (2012). How context matters in high-need schools: The effects of teachers' working conditions on their professional satisfaction and their students' achievement. *Teachers College Record*, 114(10), 1–39.
- National Board for Professional Teaching Standards. (n.d.). NBPTS | Shaping the profession that shapes America's future. Standards for NBPTS. Retrieved from <http://www.nbpts.org/standards-five-core-propositions/>
- National Policy Board for Educational Administration. (2015). *Professional standards for educational leaders*. Retrieved from <http://www.wallacefoundation.org/knowledge-center/pages/professional-standards-for-educational-leaders-2015.aspx>
- Strunk, K. O., Marsh, J. A., Hashim, A. K., & Bush-Mecenas, S. (2016). Innovation and a Return to the Status Quo A Mixed-Methods Study of School Reconstitution. *Educational Evaluation and Policy Analysis*, DOI: 0162373716642517.
- Teacher Leadership Exploratory Consortium. (2011). *Teacher leader model standards*. Carrboro, NC: Author. Retrieved from <https://www.teachingquality.org/content/teacher-leader-model-standards>
- van Geel, M., Keuning, T., Visscher, A. J., & Fox, J. P. (2016). Assessing the Effects of a School-Wide Data-Based Decision-Making Intervention on Student Achievement Growth in Primary Schools. *American Educational Research Journal*, DOI: 0002831216637346.
- Weiss, C. H., & Cambone, J. (1994). Principals, shared decision making, and school reform. *Educational Evaluation and Policy Analysis*, 16(3), 287–301.
- Wenner, J. A., & Campbell, T. (2017). The theoretical and empirical basis of teacher leadership: A review of the literature. *Review of Educational Research*, 87(1), 134–171.

## **COURSE POLICIES**

All assignments are expected to be completed to the best ability of the student and adhere to the stated

assignment criteria.

All assignments must be submitted by date listed on the assignment schedule. No late work will be accepted.

**Participation** and your commitment to your learning is critical to your success in any course. Your timely participation in the class discussion and group assignments is a substantial part of your grade in this course. You will note that your original post to the class discussions will be due first while your response to your classmates will be due later in the module. This structure will ensure we can engage in meaningful conversations about instructional supervision. If you wait until Friday evenings, when the assignments are due, to complete the required readings and watch the lecture videos, you will not be successful in this course. Each module will be open at least 2 weeks prior to the due date to facilitate the required group participation. Please plan accordingly; late participation will not be accepted.

### **Communication**

To contact me via email, you may use the Canvas email. Click on the Inbox on the left side of the Canvas main menu. Through Canvas, you may send a text email or a video message. You may also email me at [fkaiser@uttyler.edu](mailto:fkaiser@uttyler.edu). Please note that this is not a Patriots email address.

My preferred method of communication is **email**; I'm not always able to answer your phone calls.

### **Written Assignments, Quizzes & Exams**

Some of the written assignments in this course require collaboration with other students; your participation as documented on Canvas will count towards the Class Discussion grade.

All other written assignments as well as all quizzes are **individual assignments**. Students may not collaborate on the individual assignments. Unauthorized collaboration is considered cheating and will be handled according to University Policies and the Students Standards of Academic Conduct.

## **UNIVERSITY POLICIES**

### **UT Tyler Honor Code**

Every member of the UT Tyler community joins together to embrace: Honor and integrity that will not allow me to lie, cheat, or steal, nor to accept the actions of those who do.

### **Students Rights and Responsibilities**

To know and understand the policies that affect your rights and responsibilities as a student at UT Tyler, please follow this link: <http://www.uttyler.edu/wellness/rightsresponsibilities.php>

### **Campus Carry**

We respect the right and privacy of students 21 and over who are duly licensed to carry concealed weapons in this class. License holders are expected to behave responsibly and keep a handgun secure and concealed. More information is available at <http://www.uttyler.edu/about/campus-carry/index.php>

### **Tobacco-Free University**

All forms of tobacco will not be permitted on the UT Tyler main campus, branch campuses, and any property owned by UT Tyler. This applies to all members of the University community, including students, faculty, staff, University affiliates, contractors, and visitors. Forms of tobacco not permitted include cigarettes, cigars, pipes, water pipes (hookah), bidis, kreteks, electronic cigarettes, smokeless tobacco, snuff, chewing tobacco, and all other tobacco products. There are several cessation programs available to students looking to quit smoking, including counseling, quitlines, and group support. For more information on cessation programs please visit <http://www.uttyler.edu/tobacco-free>

### **Grade Replacement/Forgiveness and Census Date Policies**

Students repeating a course for grade forgiveness (grade replacement) must file a Grade Replacement Contract with the Enrollment Services Center (Stewart Hall 230) on or before the Census Date of the semester in which the course will be repeated. Grade Replacement Contracts are available in the Enrollment Services Center or at <http://www.uttyler.edu/registrar>. Each semester's Census Date can be found on the Contract itself, on the Academic Calendar, or in the information pamphlets published each semester by the Office of the Registrar.

Failure to file a Grade Replacement Contract will result in both the original and repeated grade being used to calculate your overall grade point average. Undergraduates are eligible to exercise grade replacement for only three course repeats during their career at UT Tyler; graduates are eligible for two grade replacements. Full policy details are printed on each Grade Replacement Contract.

The Census Date is the deadline for many forms and enrollment actions of which students need to be aware. These include:

- Submitting Grade Replacement Contracts, Transient Forms, requests to withhold directory information, approvals for taking courses as Audit, Pass/Fail or Credit/No Credit.
- Receiving 100% refunds for partial withdrawals. (There is no refund for these after the Census Date)
- Schedule adjustments (section changes, adding a new class, dropping without a "W" grade)
- Being reinstated or re-enrolled in classes after being dropped for non-payment
- Completing the process for tuition exemptions or waivers through Financial Aid

### **State-Mandated Course Drop Policy**

Texas law prohibits a student who began college for the first time in Fall 2007 or thereafter from dropping more than six courses during their entire undergraduate career. This includes courses dropped at another 2-year or 4-year Texas public college or university. For purposes of this rule, a dropped course is any course that is dropped after the census date (See Academic Calendar for the specific date). Exceptions to the 6-drop rule may be found in the catalog. Petitions for exemptions must be submitted to the Enrollment Services Center and must be accompanied by documentation of the extenuating circumstance. Please contact the Enrollment Services Center if you have any questions.

### **Disability Services**

**Disability/Accessibility Services:** In accordance with Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act, Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) and the ADA Amendments Act (ADAAA) the University of

Tyler at Texas offers accommodations to students with learning, physical and/or psychological disabilities. If you have a disability, including non-visible a diagnosis such as a learning disorder, chronic illness, TBI, PTSD, ADHD, or you have a history of modifications or accommodations in a previous educational environment, you are encouraged to visit <https://hood.accessiblelearning.com/UTTyler> and fill out the New Student application. The **Student Accessibility and Resources (SAR)** office will contact you when your application has been submitted and an appointment with an Accessibility Case Manager. For more information, including filling out an application for services, please visit the SAR webpage at <http://www.uttyler.edu/disabilityservices>, the SAR office located in the University Center, # 3150 or call 903.566.7079.

### **Student Absence due to Religious Observance**

Students who anticipate being absent from class due to a religious observance are requested to inform the instructor of such absences by the second class meeting of the semester.

### **Student Absence for University-Sponsored Events and Activities**

If you intend to be absent for a university-sponsored event or activity, you (or the event sponsor) must notify the instructor at least two weeks prior to the date of the planned absence. At that time the instructor will set a date and time when make-up assignments will be completed.

### **Social Security and FERPA Statement:**

It is the policy of The University of Texas at Tyler to protect the confidential nature of social security numbers. The University has changed its computer programming so that all students have an identification number. The electronic transmission of grades (e.g., via e-mail) risks violation of the Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act; grades will not be transmitted electronically.

### **Emergency Exits and Evacuation:**

Everyone is required to exit the building when a fire alarm goes off. Follow your instructor's directions regarding the appropriate exit. If you require assistance during an evacuation, inform your instructor in the first week of class. Do not re-enter the building unless given permission by University Police, Fire department, or Fire Prevention Services.

**Student Standards of Academic Conduct:** Disciplinary proceedings may be initiated against any student who engages in scholastic dishonesty, including, but not limited to, cheating, plagiarism, collusion, the submission for credit of any work or materials that are attributable in whole or in part to another person, taking an examination for another person, any act designed to give unfair advantage to a student or the attempt to commit such acts.

- (i) "Cheating" includes, but is not limited to:
- copying from another student's test paper;
  - using, during a test, materials not authorized by the person giving the test;
  - failure to comply with instructions given by the person administering the test;
  - possession during a test of materials which are not authorized by the person giving the test, such as class notes or specifically designed "crib notes". The presence of textbooks constitutes a violation if they have been specifically prohibited by the person administering the test;
  - using, buying, stealing, transporting, or soliciting in whole or part the contents of an unadministered test, test key, homework solution, or computer program;



- collaborating with or seeking aid from another student during a test or other assignment without authority;
  - discussing the contents of an examination with another student who will take the examination;
  - divulging the contents of an examination, for the purpose of preserving questions for use by another, when the instructors has designated that the examination is not to be removed from the examination room or not to be returned or to be kept by the student;
  - substituting for another person, or permitting another person to substitute for oneself to take a course, a test, or any course-related assignment;
  - paying or offering money or other valuable thing to, or coercing another person to obtain an unadministered test, test key, homework solution, or computer program or information about an unadministered test, test key, home solution or computer program;
  - falsifying research data, laboratory reports, and/or other academic work offered for credit;
  - taking, keeping, misplacing, or damaging the property of The University of Texas at Tyler, or of another, if the student knows or reasonably should know that an unfair academic advantage would be gained by such conduct; and
  - misrepresenting facts, including providing false grades or resumes, for the purpose of obtaining an academic or financial benefit or injuring another student academically or financially.
- (ii) “Plagiarism” includes, but is not limited to, the appropriation, buying, receiving as a gift, or obtaining by any means another’s work and the submission of it as one’s own academic work offered for credit.
- (iii) “Collusion” includes, but is not limited to, the unauthorized collaboration with another person in preparing academic assignments offered for credit or collaboration with another person to commit a violation of any section of the rules on scholastic dishonesty.
- (iv) All written work that is submitted will be subject to review by SafeAssign™, available on Blackboard.

#### **UT Tyler Resources for Students:**

- UT Tyler Writing Center (903.565.5995), [writingcenter@uttyler.edu](mailto:writingcenter@uttyler.edu), <http://www.uttyler.edu/writingcenter/>
- UT Tyler Tutoring Center (903.565.5964), [tutoring@uttyler.edu](mailto:tutoring@uttyler.edu), <https://www.uttyler.edu/tutoring/>
- The Mathematics Learning Center, RBN 4021, This is the open access computer lab for math students, with tutors on duty to assist students who are enrolled in early-career courses.
- UT Tyler Counseling Center (903.566.7254) <https://www.uttyler.edu/counseling/>

### **COLLEGE OF EDUCATION AND PSYCHOLOGY (CEP) VISION AND MISSION**

**Vision:** The College of Education and Psychology is nationally recognized and respected for its academic programs and opportunities. It is a center of academic excellence, scholarly inquiry, and

public service. The College prepares leaders to meet the critical challenges of the 21<sup>st</sup> Century through productive contributions to local and global communities and toward individual and cultural equity.

**Mission:** The mission of the College of Education and Psychology is to provide a positive environment that fosters the acquisition of knowledge and skills. The mission is individually and collectively realized through a community of scholars that contributes to knowledge through scholarly inquiry; organizes knowledge for application, understanding and communication; and provides leadership and service. We affirm and promote global perspectives that value individual and cultural diversity to enhance learning, service, and scholarship.

### Texas Administrative Code

<b>TITLE 19</b>	EDUCATION
<b>PART 7</b>	STATE BOARD FOR EDUCATOR CERTIFICATION
<b>CHAPTER 247</b>	EDUCATORS' CODE OF ETHICS
<b>RULE §247.2</b>	<i>Purpose and Scope; Definitions</i>

---

(b) Enforceable Standards.

**(1) Professional Ethical Conduct, Practices and Performance.**

(A) **Standard 1.1.** The educator shall not intentionally, knowingly, or recklessly engage in deceptive practices regarding official policies of the school district, educational institution, educator preparation program, the Texas Education Agency, or the State Board for Educator Certification (SBEC) and its certification process.

(B) **Standard 1.2.** The educator shall not knowingly misappropriate, divert, or use monies, personnel, property, or equipment committed to his or her charge for personal gain or advantage.

(C) **Standard 1.3.** The educator shall not submit fraudulent requests for reimbursement, expenses, or pay.

(D) **Standard 1.4.** The educator shall not use institutional or professional privileges for personal or partisan advantage.

(E) **Standard 1.5.** The educator shall neither accept nor offer gratuities, gifts, or favors that impair professional judgment or to obtain special advantage. This standard shall not restrict the acceptance of gifts or tokens offered and accepted openly from students, parents of students, or other persons or organizations in recognition or appreciation of service.

(F) **Standard 1.6.** The educator shall not falsify records, or direct or coerce others to do so.

(G) **Standard 1.7.** The educator shall comply with state regulations, written local school board policies, and other state and federal laws.

(H) **Standard 1.8.** The educator shall apply for, accept, offer, or assign a position or a responsibility on the basis of professional qualifications.

(I) **Standard 1.9.** The educator shall not make threats of violence against school district employees, school board members, students, or parents of students.

(J) **Standard 1.10.** The educator shall be of good moral character and be worthy to instruct or supervise the youth of this state.

(K) **Standard 1.11.** The educator shall not intentionally or knowingly misrepresent his or

her employment history, criminal history, and/or disciplinary record when applying for subsequent employment.

(L) **Standard 1.12.** The educator shall refrain from the illegal use or distribution of controlled substances and/or abuse of prescription drugs and toxic inhalants.

(M) **Standard 1.13.** The educator shall not consume alcoholic beverages on school property or during school activities when students are present.

**(2) Ethical Conduct Toward Professional Colleagues.**

(A) **Standard 2.1.** The educator shall not reveal confidential health or personnel information concerning colleagues unless disclosure serves lawful professional purposes or is required by law.

(B) **Standard 2.2.** The educator shall not harm others by knowingly making false statements about a colleague or the school system.

(C) **Standard 2.3.** The educator shall adhere to written local school board policies and state and federal laws regarding the hiring, evaluation, and dismissal of personnel.

(D) **Standard 2.4.** The educator shall not interfere with a colleague's exercise of political, professional, or citizenship rights and responsibilities.

(E) **Standard 2.5.** The educator shall not discriminate against or coerce a colleague on the basis of race, color, religion, national origin, age, gender, disability, family status, or sexual orientation.

(F) **Standard 2.6.** The educator shall not use coercive means or promise of special treatment in order to influence professional decisions or colleagues.

(G) **Standard 2.7.** The educator shall not retaliate against any individual who has filed a complaint with the SBEC or who provides information for a disciplinary investigation or proceeding under this chapter.

**(3) Ethical Conduct Toward Students.**

(A) **Standard 3.1.** The educator shall not reveal confidential information concerning students unless disclosure serves lawful professional purposes or is required by law.

(B) **Standard 3.2.** The educator shall not intentionally, knowingly, or recklessly treat a student or minor in a manner that adversely affects or endangers the learning, physical health, mental health, or safety of the student or minor.

(C) **Standard 3.3.** The educator shall not intentionally, knowingly, or recklessly misrepresent facts regarding a student.

(D) **Standard 3.4.** The educator shall not exclude a student from participation in a program, deny benefits to a student, or grant an advantage to a student on the basis of race, color, gender, disability, national origin, religion, family status, or sexual orientation.

(E) **Standard 3.5.** The educator shall not intentionally, knowingly, or recklessly engage in physical mistreatment, neglect, or abuse of a student or minor.

(F) **Standard 3.6.** The educator shall not solicit or engage in sexual conduct or a romantic relationship with a student or minor.

(G) **Standard 3.7.** The educator shall not furnish alcohol or illegal/unauthorized drugs to any person under 21 years of age unless the educator is a parent or guardian of that child or knowingly allow any person under 21 years of age unless the educator is a parent or guardian of that child to consume alcohol or illegal/unauthorized drugs in the presence of the educator.

(H) **Standard 3.8.** The educator shall maintain appropriate professional educator-student relationships and boundaries based on a reasonably prudent educator standard.

(I) **Standard 3.9.** The educator shall refrain from inappropriate communication with a student or minor, including, but not limited to, electronic communication such as cell phone, text messaging, email, instant messaging, blogging, or other social network communication. Factors that may be considered in assessing whether the communication is inappropriate include, but are not limited to:

- (i) the nature, purpose, timing, and amount of the communication;
- (ii) the subject matter of the communication;
- (iii) whether the communication was made openly or the educator attempted to conceal the communication;
- (iv) whether the communication could be reasonably interpreted as soliciting sexual contact or a romantic relationship;
- (v) whether the communication was sexually explicit; and
- (vi) whether the communication involved discussion(s) of the physical or sexual attractiveness or the sexual history, activities, preferences, or fantasies of either the educator or the student

**Source Note:** The provisions of this §247.2 adopted to be effective March 1, 1998, 23 TexReg 1022; amended to be effective August 22, 2002, 27 TexReg 7530; amended to be effective December 26, 2010, 35 TexReg 11242