Non-Parametric Methods in SPSS

Samantha Estrada PhD ORSSP Data Analysis Lab Consultant

Parametric vs. Non-Parametric

- Parametric methods usually involved data expressed in absolute numbers (as opposed to ranks for example).
 - Meet the assumption of normality.
 - Parametric statistics are based on assumptions about the distribution of population from which the sample was taken.

Non-parametric statistics are not based on assumptions, that is, the data can be collected from a sample that does not follow a specific distribution.

Parametric	Non-Parametric
Independent Samples T-Test	Mann-Whitney U
Independent Samples T-Test	Welch's T-Test
Dependent Samples T- Test	Wilcoxon (not covered)
ANOVA	Kruskall Wallis ANOVA
ANOVA	Welch's ANOVA

Assumptions

Normality

It is assumed that the data are normally distributed. Specifically, we assume that both groups are normally distributed.

QQ Plots

Examples of QQ-Plots when the assumption of normality is violated

- Shapiro-Wilk Test of Normality





Assumptions

Equality of variance

- Homogeneity of variance (also called "homoscedasticity") or simply equality of variance.
- One of the assumptions of parametric statistical tests is that the samples you are comparing should have fairly homogeneous variances.

Levene's Test for Equality of Variances

You can test this assumption using the Levene test. You want it to NOT be significant, if it is not significant you should use Welch's t-test (a non-parametric test).

• The hypotheses for Levene's Test

Ho: The variances are equal Ha: The variances are not equal

Resources for Assumptions

• Nimon (2012)

What is a t-test?









Mann-Whitney U

The Mann-Whitney U test is used to compare whether there is a difference in the dependent variable for two independent groups (Navarro & Foxcroft, 2019)

Hypotheses

- Ho: The Median (Mdn) from the first population is NOT different from the Median (Mdn) of the second population
- Ha: The Median (Mdn) from the first population is different from the Median (Mdn) of the second population

Assumptions

It doesn't have any! How great is that?!

Mann-Whitney U

Example

- We will compare a non-smoker group to a group of smokers on their lung capacity.
 - Smoke: Smoking status
 - LungCapacity: Lung Capacity

View	Data	Iransform	Analog Graphs Utilities	Extensions	Window	Help
		53	PAnalysis	>		
ame	Tune	Midth	Meta Analysis	>	lincing	Columns
Cap	Numeric	6	Reports	> .	e S	Columns
- up	Numeric	2	Descriptive Statistics	> 0	0 8	3
ht	Numeric	4	Baussian Chattatian		e 8	3
let	Numeric	2	Bayesian Statistics	, ,	0 8	3
letGroup	Numeric	1	Tables	> n	e 8	3
htfertili	Numeric	4	Compare Means	> n	e 8	3
ment	Numeric	1	General Linear Model	> n	e 8	3
\$	Numeric	3	Generalized Linear Models	, n	e s	ŝ
bđ	Numeric	1	Generalized Linear models	n	e f	3
\$2	Numeric	3	Mixed Models	* n	e f	3
od2	Numeric	1	Correlate	> n	e f	3
elD	Numeric	1	Regression	> n	e a	3
neSQ	Numeric	19	Loginear	, n	e 2	21
	Numeric	4	Alexand Materialia	n	e s	8
	Numeric	4	Neural Networks	, n	e s	3
ht	Numeric	4	Classify	> n	e e	3
Growt	Numeric	1	Dimension Reduction	> n	e s	3
ionLat	Numeric	4	Scale	, n	e s	3
sionLat	Numeric	4	Negarametric Tests	, n	e s	3
sionLat_	Numeric	18	Monparametric Tests	, n	e 3	11
ionLat	Numeric	18	Forecasting	>	Create	Temporal
sionLat	Numeric	19	Survival	>	Create	Traditiona
ne	Numeric	5	Multiple Response	>		
neSQ	Numeric	19	ET Manine Malue Anabusia	1	Apply T	emporal (
neCub	Numeric	18	Missing Value Analysis	1	Apply T	raditional
ler	Numeric	1	Multiple Imputation	>	Saacan	al Decom
arean	Numeric	1	Complex Samples	>	Degani	ai Decon
ce .	Numeric	1	B Simulation	1	Spectra	I Analysi
			Quality Control	, 1	Sequen	ce Charts
			Sential and Temporal Medalic		Autocor	relations.
			The second			

	P Analysis	>		_	2
	Meta Analysis	>		Columna 1	-
	Reports	>	missing	8	3
	Descriptive Statistics	>	ne	8	100
	Bayesian Statistics	>	ne	8	100
	Tables		ne	8	311
	Tagies	1	ne	8	1
	Compare Means	>	ne	8	100
	General Linear Model	>	ne	8	100
	Generalized Linear Models	>	ne	8	30
	Mixed Models	>	ne	8	1
	Correlate		ne	8	1
	Consider		ne	8	1
	Regression	>	ne	8	10
	L <u>og</u> linear	>	ne	0	1
	Neural Networks	>	ne	0	1 1
	Classify	>	ne	8	3
	Dimension Reduction	>	ne	8	100
	Scale	>	ne	8	110
	Neget Table		ne	8	111
	Nonparametric Tests		ne	31	3
	Forecasting	>	Creat	e Temporal	C
	Survival	>	Creat	e Traditiona	
	Multiple Response	>	Apply	/ Temporal (Ca
-32	Missing Value Analysis		El Annh	Traditional	M
	Multiple Imputation	>		Deser	
	Complex Samples	>	Sea2	onal Decom	pq
睜	Simulation		Spec	tral Analysis	ŝ
-	Quality Control	>	E Sequ	ence Charts	
	Spatial and Temporal Modeling	>	Autor	correlations.	
	opana and rempire medening		ET Current	Constation	

ng	Columns	Align	Measur	e Role
	8	Right	A Scale	> Input
	8	Right	🚓 Nomina	il 🔪 Input
	8	Right	Scale 8	🔪 Input
	8	Right	# Scale	> Input
	8	Right	🚴 Nomina	i 🔪 Input
	8	Right	Scale 8	🔪 Input
	8	Right	🚴 Nomina	il 🔪 Input
	8	Right	Scale 8	> Input
	8	Right	🚴 Nomina	il 🖒 Input
	8	Right	& Scale	🔪 Input
	8	Right	\delta Nomina	i 🔪 Input
	8	Right	🚴 Nomina	il 🔪 Input
	21	Right	A Scale	🔪 Input
	8	Right	Scale 8	> Input
	8	Right	/ Scale	> Input
	8	Right	A Scale	> Input
	8	Right	🚜 Nomina	i 🖒 Input
	8	Right	Scale 8	🔪 Input
	8	Right	/ Scale	> Input
	31	3 Right	A Scale	🔪 Input
reat	e Temporal	Causal Mode	ls ale	🔪 Input
reat	e Traditiona	Models	ale	> Input
to man			ale	🔪 Input
pply	Temporal	Causal Model	s ale	> Input
pply	Traditional	Models	ale	🔪 Input
	anal Decom	norilion	mina	il 🔪 Input
eas	onal Decon	iposition	mina	il 🔪 İnput
pec	tral Analysi	9	mina	il 🖒 İnput
am	ence Charts			

Key Output

Nonparametric Tests

Hypothesis Test Summary

	Null Hypothesis	Test	Sig. ^{a,b}	Decision
1	The distribution of LungCap is the same across categories of Smoke.	Independent-Samples Mann- Whitney U Test	.006	Reject the null hypothesis.

a. The significance level is .050.

b. Asymptotic significance is displayed.

Key Output

Independent-Samples Mann-Whitney U Test

LungCap across Smoke

Independent-Samples Mann-Whitney U Test Summary

Total N	725
Mann-Whitney U	29768.000
Wilcoxon W	32771.000
Test Statistic	29768.000
Standard Error	1737.426
Standardized Test Statistic	2.774
Asymptotic Sig.(2-sided test)	.006

Independent-Samples Mann-Whitney U Test



Smoke

Interpretation

Mann-Whitney U test showed that there was a significant difference (W = 29,768, p = 0.006) in lung capacity between the group of smokers (Mdn = 8.65) compared to the non-smoker group (Mdn = 7.90).

Welch's t-test

Welch t-test

• Welch's t-test assumes that both groups of data are normally distributed, but it does not assume that those two populations have the same variance.

Hypotheses

- Ho: The means are equal
- Ha: The means are not equal

Data Example: Welch's t-test

A teacher wants to compare the exam scores of 12 students who used an exam prep booklet to prepare for some exam vs. 12 students who did not (*How to Perform Welch's t-Test in r,* 2020)

- Booklet Group: Booklet and No Booklet
- Booklet Exam Score



Welch's t-test

Independent Samples

View Data Iransform Analyze Graphs Utilities Extensions Window Help

ame	Type	Width	Decimals	Label	Values	Missing	Columns	Align	Measure	Role
Сар	Numeric	6	3		None	None	8	Right	A Scale	🔪 Input
	Numeric	2	0		None	None	8	Right	🚴 Nominal	> Input
nt	Numeric	4	1		None	None	8	疆 Right	A Scale	🔪 Input
let	Numeric	2	0		None	None	8	Right	# Scale	> Input
ietGroup	Numeric	1	0		None	None	8	Right	\delta Nominal	🔪 Input
htfertili	Numeric	4	2		None	None	8	Right	A Scale	🔪 Input
ment	Numeric	1	0		None	None	8	Right	🚴 Nominal	> Input
s	Numeric	3	0		None	None	8	Right	A Scale	🔪 Input
bd	Numeric	1	0		None	None	8	Right	🚴 Nominal	🔪 input
\$2	Numeric	3	0		None	None	8	Right	# Scale	> Input
d2	Numeric	1	0		None	None	8	Right	🚴 Nominal	> Input
eID	Numeric	1	0		None	None	8	Right	🚴 Nominal	🔪 Input
neSQ	Numeric	19	15		None	None	21	Right	A Scale	🔪 Input
	Numeric	4	1		None	None	8	Right	Scale 8	🔪 Input
	Numeric	4	1		None	None	8	Right	/ Scale	🥆 Input
ht	Numeric	4	2		None	None	8	Right	A Scale	🔪 Input
Growt	Numeric	1	0		None	None	8	I Right	🚴 Nominal	🔪 Input
ionLat	Numeric	4	0		None	None	8	Right Right	A Scale	🔪 Input
ionLat_	Numeric	4	0		None	None	8	Right Right	# Scale	🔪 Input
ionLat_	Numeric	18	16		None	None	31	Right	A Scale	🔪 Input
ionLat_	Numeric	18	15		None	None	30	Right	/ Scale	🔪 Input
ionLat	Numeric	19	16		None	None	31	Right	A Scale	🦒 Input
10	Numeric	5	0		None	None	8	Right	A Scale	> Input
neSQ	Numeric	19	15		None	None	22	Right	🖉 Scale	🔪 Input
neCub	Numeric	18	15		None	None	22	Right	# Scale	🔪 Input
er	Numeric	1	0		{1, Female}	None	9	Right	🚴 Nominal	🔪 Input
arean	Numeric	1	0		{1, No}	None	12	Right	🚓 Nominal	> Input
te	Numeric	1	0		(1, No)	None	8	Right	🚴 Nominal	> Input
			1							

Output

	BookletGroup	N	Mean	Std. Deviation	Std. Error Mean				
Booklet	1	12	87.92	4.033	1.164				
	2	12	80.83	10.205	2.946				

Independent Samples Test

		Levene's Test Varia	for Equality of nces		t-test for Equality of Means							
		F	Sig.	t	df	Signifi One-Sided p	Significance Mean One-Sided p Difference		Std. Error Difference	95% Confidence Differ Lower	e Interval of the ence Upper	
Booklet	Equal variances assumed	16.603	<.001	2.236	22	.018	.036	7.083	3.168	.514	13.653	
	Equal variances not assumed			2.236	14.354	.021	.042	7.083	3.168	.305	13.862	

Interpretation

	Independent Samples Test										
		Levene's Test Varia	for Equality of nces		t-test for Equality of Means						
		F	Sig.	t	df	Signifi One-Sided p	cance Two-Sided p	Mean Difference	Std. Error Difference	95% Confidenc Differ Lower	e Interval of the ence Upper
Booklet	Equal variances assumed	16.603	<.001	2.236	22	.018	.036	7.083	3.168	.514	13.653
	Equal variances not assumed			2.236	14.354	.021	.042	7.083	3.168	.305	13.862

As we can see in the Equal Variance assumed output, we are violating the equal variances assumption (F(1, 22) = 2.236, p < .001)

Interpretation



The assumption of equal variances was checked using Levene's Test (F (1,22) = 2.236, p < .001 and we found the assumption was not met. Due to the violation of the equal variance assumption a Welch's T-Test was performed. We found there was a statistically significant difference (Welch's t (14.4) = 2.236, p = 0.042). Next... report the means and/or mean difference.

Decision Chart



Kruskal-Wallis ANOVA

- Kruskal-Wallis test used for comparing the differences between two or more groups (similar to ANOVA).
- It is an extension to the Mann-Whitney U Test (in the same way a ANOVA is an extension of a t-test), which is used for comparing two groups. It compares the mean ranks (medians) of groups.
- Kruskal-Wallis test does not assume any specific distribution (such as normal distribution of samples). Thus is useful if you have a normality violation.
- Kruskal-Wallis test is not as efficient as the F test, so it might require more dramatic differences for the null hypothesis to be rejected.

Data Example: Kruskal-Wallis

For example, suppose weights of poplar trees are different based on treatments (none treatment, fertilizer, irrigation, or fertilizer and irrigation). But the weight samples are not normally distributed. The research question is to test whether the poplar tree weights are different under the four treatments.

Hypotheses

- Ho: Populations medians are equal
- Ha: At least, one population median is different from other populations

View Data Transform Analy	vze <u>G</u> raphs <u>U</u> tilities Extension	is <u>W</u> indow <u>H</u> elp			
🔒 🗔 🖛 🛥 📱	1 📥 🗐 📭 🔥 📟	📰 🕒 📑	Q		
izer .02					Visible: 3
/ Weightfertilizer	🗞 Treatment			10 VAR00010	
.15	0				
.02	0				
.16	0				
.37	0				
.22	0				
1.34	1				
.14	1				
.02	1				
30.	1				
 80. 	1				
.23	2				
.04	2				
.34	2				
.16	2				
.05	2				
2.03	3				
.27	3				
.92	3				
1.07	3				
2.38	3				
-					

NPar Tests

Kruskal-Wallis Test

R	a	n	k	s
	_			_

	Treatment	N	Mean Rank
Weightfertilizer	None	5	9.00
	Fertilizer	5	7.50
	Irrigation	5	8.50
	Fertilizer and Irrigation	5	17.00
	Total	20	

Test Statistics^{a,b}

	Weightfertilizer
Kruskal-Wallis H	8.233
df	3
Asymp. Sig.	.041

a. Kruskal Wallis Test

b. Grouping Variable: Treatment

Interpretation

A Kruskal-Wallis test was conducted to examine the differences between three different treatment used for plant grow. The results indicated that there were statistically significant differences χ^2 (3) = 8.23, p = .041.

Welch's ANOVA

We used Welch's ANOVA when we have a violation of homogeneity of variance.

Hypotheses

Same as ANOVA.

Data Example: Welch's ANOVA

Three reading instructions are given to 15 subjects; then a reading test is given where the number of words per minute is recorded for each subject. The question is to test whether the three instructions makes any difference to the reading score

- Continuous DV: Number of words.
- Nominal IV: Three different instructions

View	Data Transform	Analyze Graphs Utilities	stensions Window Help		
	🛄 🖝 🍽	🖉 📥 📰 🃭 🥻	🔚 🎬 📲 🎱 💽 🔍		
2					Visible: 34
R0001 1	# Worus	🗞 Method		💑 VAR00012	
	ro	1			
	850	1			
	820	1			
	640	1			
	920	1			
	480	2			
	460	2			
	500	2			
	570	2			
	580	2			
	500	3			
	550	3			
	480	3			
	600	3			
	610	3			
	640	1			
	920	1			
	480	2			
	460	2			
	600	3			
	610	3			

Robust Tests of Equality of Means

Words

	Statistic ^a	df1	df2	Sig.
Welch	14.867	2	11.184	<.001

a. Asymptotically F distributed.

Interpretation

Due to the violation of homogeneity of variance, we conducted a Welch's ANOVA a non-parametric alternative to examine the differences in the number of words recorded by the different methods A, B, C. The results indicated that there were statistically significant differences F(2, 12) = 14.867, p < .001.



References

How to perform welch's t-test in r. (2020). https://www.statology.org/welch-t-test-in-r/

Navarro, D., & Foxcroft, D. (2019). *Learning statistics with jamovi: A tutorial for psychology students and other beginners (version 0.70)*.

Nimon, K. F. (2012). Statistical assumptions of substantive analyses across the general linear model: A mini-review. *Frontiers in Psychology*, *3*, 322.